

The potential role of artificial intelligence besides predicting gastric cancer invasion depth



To the Editor:

In recent articles published by *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*,¹⁻³ artificial intelligence (AI) has been used to predict the invasion depth of gastric cancer (GC) on the basis of nonmagnifying endoscopic images with high accuracy. The accuracy of white-light imaging (WLI) with AI is from 78.6% to 94.5% when distinguishing GC within the mucosa, the superficial and deeper submucosa, and beyond the submucosa.

The diagnosis and invasion depth prediction of GI cancer is always a prerequisite for the treatment choices (eg, endoscopic or surgical resection). In current clinical practice, the invasion depth of GC mainly relies on the macroscopic features from WLI, such as Paris classification, size, color, and ulceration. The diagnostic ability is subjective and changeable. These results may indicate the feasibility of the clinical application of AI based on WLI in determining GC invasion depth to improve accuracy and reduce the workload after the optimization of AI models and algorithms in the future.

For esophageal squamous cell cancer and colorectal cancer, intrapapillary capillary loops (IPCL), the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidelines, and the Japan Narrow-Band Imaging Expert Team classification can give us common diagnostic criteria for invasion depth. Specific criteria of GC invasion depth are lacking, which may be a limitation of endoscopic evaluation. Therefore, besides the clinical application to endoscopic diagnosis that is considered the dominant goal of AI, does the high accuracy indicate a criterion of GC invasion depth hidden in WLI? Furthermore, can AI be used to find a specific structure and the corresponding change rule responsible for GC invasion depth, similar to IPCL and pit pattern? If so, it means that image-based AI may promote the development of endoscopic diagnostic criteria of GC invasion depth and also may be applicable to esophageal adenocarcinoma and the mechanism of gastric carcinogenesis behind the structure.

DISCLOSURE

All authors disclosed no financial relationships.

Hang Yang, MD
Department of Gastroenterology
West China Hospital

Sichuan University
Chengdu, Sichuan, China

Liwen Guan, MD

Department of Gastroenterology
Sanya Central Hospital
Sanya, China

Bing Hu, MD

Department of Gastroenterology
West China Hospital
Sichuan University
Chengdu, Sichuan, China

Drs Yang and Guan contributed equally to this article.

REFERENCES

- Zhu Y, Wang QC, Xu MD, et al. Application of convolutional neural network in the diagnosis of the invasion depth of gastric cancer based on conventional endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2019;89:806-15.e1.
- Nagao S, Tsuji Y, Sakaguchi Y, et al. Highly accurate artificial intelligence systems to predict the invasion depth of gastric cancer: efficacy of conventional white-light imaging, nonmagnifying narrow-band imaging, and indigo-carmin dye contrast imaging. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2020;92:866-73.e1.
- Wu L, Wang J, He X, et al. Deep learning system compared with expert endoscopists in predicting early gastric cancer and its invasion depth and differentiation status (with videos). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2022;95:92-104.e3.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2022.07.015>

Gastric juice accelerates liquefaction of pancreatic necrosis



To the Editor:

The recently published meta-analysis on H₂O₂-assisted endoscopic necrosectomy of pancreatic walled-off necrosis (WON) showed that it facilitated debridement without significant adverse events.¹ Debridement is often inefficient, requiring multiple procedures and the retention of drains or stents for prolonged periods.² Many solutions have been investigated, including collagenases,³ tissue plasminogen activator,⁴ and gastric acid.⁵ An in vitro study by us also showed that fluid obtained from a nasogastric tube (patient taking a proton pump inhibitor) was an effective liquefaction solution.⁶

We conducted an in vitro study to evaluate the liquefying effects of 4 different chemical solutions on human pancreatic necrosis: 0.9% normal saline (group I), 5% sodium bicarbonate (group II), 3% H₂O₂ (group III), and artificial gastric juice (Coolaber Corporation, Beijing: 3.5 mg/mL pepsin, hydrochloric acid diluted to pH 2.0,